

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)			
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
170127 PA		· DDW 10.45	777177 140			
<b>AIRS ID#:</b> 1150137 <b>DA</b>	TE: <u>06/04/2007</u>	ARRIVE: ~ 12:45 pm	DEPART: <u>~1:20 pm</u>			
FACILITY NAME: ANDERSON ASPHALT & CONCRETE - YARD #2						
FACILITY LOCATION	1851 Myrtle Street					
	SARASOTA 34234-					
RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	IAL: RICK STUBBS	PHONE	(941)351-6586			
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE				
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITL	EMENT PERIOD: 6/3/2005 (effective date	/ 6/3/2010 (end date)			
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	eck only one box)				
IN COMPLIANO	CE MINOR Non-COMP	PLIANCE SIGNIFICAN	T Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
Stack Emissions						
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?						
	2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?					
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted						
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?						
to this question is	"Yes", then continue on to quest	tions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answ	ver is "No" then			
skip 4.a) and 4.b) a) Was the batchi	and continue on to question 5.) ng operation in operation during	the visible emissions test?				
b) During the visi	ible emissions test, was the batch	ning rate representative of the no				
5. If emissions from	the weigh hopper (batcher) oper	ration are controlled by a dust co	llector, which is separate			
	collector, are the visible emissio patching at a rate that is represent		e and duration?  Yes No			

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes □ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing  ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing  ☐Yes ☑ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))					
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)					
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined					
emissions by:					
<ul> <li>a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the fol</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> </ul>					
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-supplemissions?					
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other par					
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to rec					
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind by					
particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠Yes □ No				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?					
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - Ru	lo 62 210 200(4)(d)4 F A C				
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	le 02-210.500(4)(u)4., F.A.C.				
A. New of Mounted Process Equipment					
Since the last inspection has there been					
a) installation of any new process equipment?					
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?					
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially differe		□Yes ⊠ No			
recent notification form?		□Yes ⊠ No			
d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete					
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or					
local program office?					
1 6		□Yes ⊠ No			
Debbie Telemeco-Anders, ESII	06/04/2007				
		_			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	~ 2008				
	2000				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_			
- <del>-</del>	•				
<b>COMMENTS:</b> INS 3; observed visible emissions compliance test. The ground area was NOT cleared/debris remained; however,					

**COMMENTS:** INS 3; observed visible emissions compliance test. The ground area was NOT cleared/debris remained; however, NO visible emissions were observed. Wind speed was ~10 to 15 mph.